



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,044	07/26/2003	David A. Jackson	66396-059	8751
7590	11/23/2004		EXAMINER	
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			ARTHUR JEANGLAUME, GERTRUDE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2144	

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/628,044	JACKSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude	2144	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 September 2004.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 6-8,21-23 and 25 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1,9,15 and 24 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 2-5,10-14 and 16-20 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 9, 15, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Squires et al. (US Pub No. 20040024502).

As to claims 1, 9, 15, 24, Squires et al. disclose a diagnostic system for diagnosing a malfunction during the operation of an instrument that gathers and analyzes real-time data associated with a vehicle, the system comprising one or more information-gathering devices (See Fig.2, # 45a, 46b, 47a) for gathering the real-time data associated with the vehicle or the instrument; it further discloses a memory device in the central control program (14) as shown in Fig.2 (also See paragraph 0073) in communication with the information-gathering devices for storing the real-time data associated with the vehicle or the instrument; and a data replay system (1485; also used as a black box recorder with capability to replay) (See paragraph

0234) in communication with the memory device for playing back the real-time data associated with the vehicle or the instrument after the real time data associated with the vehicle or the instrument was gathered. Moreover, Squires et al. disclose a processor (15) as shown in Fig. 2 to inherently analyze the re-played data to diagnose a malfunction of the vehicle test instrument.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-5, 10-14, 16-20, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art fails to disclose one or more information gathering devices includes a camera focused on an optical target in a wheel alignment system; nor does the prior art disclose processing the real-time wheel alignment data to generate wheel alignment analysis data; storing the wheel alignment analysis data; re-playing the wheel alignment analysis data in conjunction with the re-playing of the real-time wheel alignment data; and analyzing the re-played wheel alignment analysis data to diagnose a malfunction.

Claims 6-8, 21-23, 25 are allowable over the prior art of record.
The prior art fails to disclose a diagnostic system for allowing a service technician to diagnose a malfunction during operation by an operator of a wheel alignment system that gathers information in real time about the alignment of a plurality of wheels comprising: a storage control for causing the memory device to store the images

and the analysis data in response to activation of the storage control by the operator during the occurrence of the malfunction', a display device in communication with the memory device for selectively displaying the images and the analysis data; and a play-back control for causing the display device to selectively display the images and the analysis data to the service technician in response to activation of the play-back control after the occurrence of the malfunction.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gertrude Arthur-Jeanglaude whose telephone number is (571) 272-6954. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski can be reached on (571) 272-3925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GAJ

GAJ

November 17, 2004

Gertrude A. Jeanglaude
GERTRUDE A. JEANGLAUDÉ
PRIMARY EXAMINER